Electrodeposition and mechanical properties of Ni–La2O3 nanocomposites

YU-JUN XUE∗, DI ZHU∗, FEI ZHAO *Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronaustics & Astronaustics, Nanjing 210016, People's Republic of China E-mail: yjxue2000@yahoo.com.cn E-mail: dzhu@nuaa.edu.cn*

Electrodeposition is one method to produce metal matrix composite coatings. Metal matrix nanocomposites can be formed by the addition of nano-sized particles to a host matrix such as Ni. The nanoparticles used is reported in the literature [1–7] include SiC, Al_2O_3 , ZrO_2 , TiO_2 , PSZ , and YSZ etc. The codeposition of the nanoparticles with metal phases to form nanocomposites can bring a remarkable improvement on mechanical and physical properties of the coatings. Meanwhile, the morphology, structure, and properties of the composite electrodeposits are affected by several interrelated parameters: composition of the electrolytic bath and particles concentration, presence of additives, temperature, pH, agitation, and applied current density $[2-5, 8]$.

Rare earth oxides have been widely used in electronics, materials and chemical engineering, because of their special characteristics [9–11]. It was found that electrodeposited Ni–La₂O₃ composite films at high temperatures had the slowest rate and the best resistance to thermal cycling compared to those of bare Ni [12]. The reason was that $La₂O₃$ particles in the nanometer size play a major role inhibiting grain-boundary diffusion. However, the mechanical properties of the $Ni-La₂O₃$ nanocomposites have not received much attention.

In this work, the behaviors of composite electroplating of nanometric $La₂O₃$ particles with Ni to form nanocomposites were studied. The effect of the concentration of La_2O_3 in the plating bath and plating parameters such as current density, temperature, and stirring rate on the amount of La_2O_3 codeposited in the composites were evaluated. Microhardness of the Ni–La₂O₃ nanocomposites as a function of the weight fraction of $La₂O₃$ particles in the deposits was also investigated.

Electrodeposition of $Ni–La₂O₃$ nanocomposites were deposited in a nickel sulfamate bath consisting of $[Ni(NH_2SO_3)_2.4H_2O]$ (400 g/l), NH₄Cl (15 g/l) , H_3BO_3 (30 g/l) , sodium dodecylsulfate $[CH₃(CH₂)₁₁OSO₃Na]$ (0.1 g/l), and La₂O₃ particles from 5 to 30 g/l. The La_2O_3 powder (purity >99.99%) has an average 60-nm particle size. Each plating bath was mixed by magnetic stirring for 2 h, and subsequently by ultrasonic agitation for 30 min just before electroplating. Codeposition was carried out in 500-ml beaker for 2 h; a steel plate as cathode with dimensions of $20 \times 50 \times 1$ mm was used, and a nickel plate was used as anode. The electrolyte was stirred by a magnetic stirrer during electrodeposition. The temperature of the electrolyte was maintained at a predetermined value by an automatic controller. The operating conditions for plating were current density ranging from 2 to 8 A/dm^2 , bath temperature from 35 to 60° C, and stirring rate from 400 to 1200 rpm. Because of La_2O_3 particles dissolve in the bath, the pH of the electrolyte increases with the increase of concentration of $La₂O₃$ particles in the electrolyte, as listed in Table 1.

The surface morphology and the components of the deposits were studied by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, LEO-1530VP) with energy dispersive analyzer system (EDX). The weight fraction of lanthana was determined by using the lanthanaum to oxygen ratio 2:3 determined by the chemical formula $La₂O₃$. Vickers microhardness tests were conducted on an HXS-1000A microhardness tester using a load of 50 g, applied for 10 s. Each hardness value was an average of five measurements.

Fig. 1 represents that the wt% of the La_2O_3 in the composite varies with different contents (5–30 g/l) of the $La₂O₃$ particles in the electrolyte at current densities 2 A/dm², 50 °C, and 800 rpm. It is seen that the wt% of $La₂O₃$ in the deposit rapidly increases with the increase of the $La₂O₃$ content in the bath. The greatest particle incorporation occurs at the highest $La₂O₃$ addition of 30 g/l. If La_2O_3 content is too low (15 g/l), the number of nickel ions adsorbed on $La₂O₃$ particles is small, leading to the small amount of codeposited particles.

The effect of the current density on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite at pH 5.3, 50° C, and 800 rpm and at 30 g/l La_2O_3 in the electrolyte is shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that the wt% of $La₂O₃$ in the deposit decreases with the increase of the current density. As the current density increases, the movement of nickel ions from bulk solution to the cathode surface was faster than that of La_2O_3 particles by the mechanical agitation. So, the lower wt% of the codeposited La_2O_3 was caused at high current densities. As a result, the optimum current density is a relatively low current density, which allowed

[∗] Authors to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

TABLE I The pH value varied with the content of La_2O_3 particles in the electrolyte

$La2O3$ particles content	pH value
θ	4.1
5	4.4
10	4.6
15	4.9
20	5.1
30	5.3

Figure 1 Effect of the La₂O₃ content in the electrolyte on the wt% of $La₂O₃$ in the composite.

Figure 2 Effect of the current density on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite.

the maximum wt% of the codeposited La_2O_3 . In this investigation, it could be concluded that the optimum current density was 2 A/dm^2 .

Fig. 3 represents the effect of temperature on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite at 30 g/l La_2O_3 , current densities 2 A/dm^2 , pH 5.3, and 800 rpm. It is clear that the temperature of the electrolyte markedly affects the weight fraction of La_2O_3 in the composite. The embedded La_2O_3 content increases from 2.0 wt% at 35 °C to 3.1 wt% at 50 \degree C. With the further increase of the temperature value, the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite decreases gradually.

The stirring rate plays an important role in the plating process for the transportation of $La₂O₃$ particles to the

Figure 3 Effect of the temperature on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite.

Figure 4 Effect of the stirring rate on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite.

cathode for the codeposition. Fig. 4 shows the effect of stirring rate on the wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite at pH 5.3, 50° C, current densities 2 A/dm², and 30 g/l $La₂O₃$ in the electrolyte. It is seen that the amount of $La₂O₃$ in the composite increases with increasing stirring rate up to 800 rpm. The highest wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite is obtained at 800 rpm. The wt% of La_2O_3 in the composite decreases beyond 800 rpm. It is suggested that, under strong stirring conditions, the $La₂O₃$ particles absorbed on the cathode are partly taken away, which resulted in a decreased amount of the La_2O_3 codeposition.

The SEM surface morphologies of electrodeposited pure Ni and Ni-La₂O₃ nanocomposites is represented in Fig. 5. It shows that the composite deposits register similar nodular surface structure. Compared to pure Ni deposit, the nanocomposite coatings had smaller nodular microstructure. It seems that the increase of the wt% La_2O_3 codeposited lead to the generation of surfaces with more smooth and microcrystalline structures. The EDX results show a good uniformity of dispersed phase, confirming the parameters operated in this study is suitable.

The hardness of the electrodeposited composites depends on many factors. Among them, the most important is the composition of the electrolyte. The

Figure 5 SEM surface micrographs of the electrodeposited pure Ni and Ni-La₂O₃ nanocomposites obtained at 2 A/dm², 50 °C, and 800 rpm: (a) pure Ni, (b) 1.1 wt% La₂O₃, (c) 1.5 wt% La₂O₃, (d) 1.7 wt% La₂O₃, (e) 2.8 wt% La₂O₃, and (f) 3.1 wt% La₂O₃.

relationship between the weight fraction of La_2O_3 in the nanocomposite and michrohardness is shown in Fig. 6. It appears that higher weight fraction of codeposited-La2O3 provides better microhardness. Gyftou *et al.* [2] reported that embedding of nanoparticles perturbs the crystal growth of Ni, inducing a reduction in the crystal size, gives deposits with significantly increased hardness values. Wang *et al.* [3] also reported that the existence of nano-sized ceramic particle, as the secondphase, reduces the grain size of Ni-matrix. Accordingly, the higher microhardness value of the Ni-La₂O₃ nanocomposites may be also due to the decrease of the grain size of Ni-matrix of the composites, which is favored by the nano-sized La_2O_3 particles. Future studies are also aimed at establishing the presence of nanometric $La₂O₃$ particles in the composites and investigating the microstructure of the coatings by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray diffraction methods.

In summary, $Ni-La₂O₃$ nanocomposites were prepared using composite electroplating, which embedded nano-sized $La₂O₃$ particles as the reinforcing phase. The maximum wt% of La_2O_3 in the composites was obtained at 30 g/l $La₂O₃$ in the electrolyte, 50 \degree C, 800 rpm, and current densities 2 A/dm². The microhardness of the $Ni-La₂O₃$ nanocomposites increased with the weight fraction of codeposited- $La₂O₃$ nanoparticles.

Figure 6 Variation of microhardness with weight fraction of La₂O₃ particles in the nanocomposite.

Acknowledgments

The present work was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2002032192), and by National Nature Science Foundation of China (50075040).

References

- 1. L. BENEA, P. L. BONORA, A. BORELLO, S.
MARTELLI, F. WENGRER, P. PONTHIAUX and J. GALLAND, *Solid State Ionics* **151** (2002) 89.
- 2. P. GYFTOU, M. STROUMBOULI, E. A. PAVLATOU and N. SPYRELLIS , *Trans. IMF* **80** (2002) 88.
- 3. S .-C. WANG and W.-C. J. WEI, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **78** (2003) 574.
- 4. A. B. VIDRINE and E. J. PODLAHA, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **31** (2001) 461.
- 5. T. DEGUCHI, K. IMAI, H. MATSUI, M. IWASAKI, H. TADA and S . ITO, *J. Mater. Sci.* **36** (2001) 4723.
- 6. J. L I, J. JIANG, H. H E and Y. SUN, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* **21** (2002) 939.
- 7. S . T. ARUNA and K. S . RAJAM, *Scripta Materialia* **48** (2003) 507.
- 8. S . K. KIM and H. J. YOO, *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **108–109** (1998) 564.
- 9. B. A. LATELLA, A. J. ATANACIO and TIANSHUN LIU, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* **21** (2002) 879.
- 10. ZHANG TIANSHU, PETER HING, HAITAO HUANG and J. KINER, *J. Mater. Sci.* **37** (2002) 997.
- 11. DIANYING CHEN, BAOLIN ZHANG, JIANGGUANG X U, HANRUI ZHUANG and WENLAN LI, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* **22** (2003) 163.
- 12. X. PENG, T. L I and W. W U, *Oxid. Met.* **51** (1999) 314.

Received 28 October and accepted 24 November 2003